FILED: AUGUST 27 as 2008 cv-04911 Document 1 Filed 08/27/2008 Page 1 of 6 08CV4911

JUDGE MANNING

MAGISTRATE JUDGE COLE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

DIANNE CHISENALL)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No.
)	
v.)	
)	PLAINTIFF DEMANDS
JP MORGAN CHASE & CO., and)	TRIAL BY JURY
CHASE BANK)	
Defendants.)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, DIANNE CHISENALL, by her attorneys, KIMBERLY A. CARR and ERIN BUCK KAISER of BEST, VANDERLAAN & HARRINGTON, and in complaining of Defendants, JP MORGAN CHASE & CO., and CHASE BANK (collectively hereafter referred to as "Defendants" or "CHASE,") states as follows:

NATURE OF CLAIM

1. This is an action seeking redress for discrimination in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"), 29 U.S.C. §621, *et seq.*, and discrimination in violation of Title VII, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, including discrimination based on sex, female, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction lies in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(3) and (4) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 3. Venue is proper in the Northern District of Illinois, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), as Plaintiff's causes of action arose herein.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff DIANNE CHISENALL (hereinafter "CHISENALL" or "Plaintiff,") is a female citizen of the United States, over the age of 40 and currently is a resident of Joliet, Illinois.
- 5. CHISENALL was at all relevant times an employee of Defendant, JP MORGAN CHASE & CO., and at all relevant times worked for the Defendant in the State of Illinois under its authority and control. JP MORGAN CHASE & CO. is a corporation doing business in Illinois, with its headquarters at 10 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60603.
- 6. CHISENALL was at all relevant times an employee of Defendant, CHASE BANK, and at all relevant times worked for the Defendant in the State of Illinois under its authority and control. CHASE BANK, is a corporation doing business at 601 North Illinois Street, Plainfield, Illinois 60544.

PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

7. CHISENALL has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action, under Title VII, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991 and the Age Discrimination in employment Act. She timely filed a Charge of Discrimination against Defendants with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and has received a Right to Sue letter. The Right to Sue letter is attached hereto and made part hereof as Exhibit "A."

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 8. Plaintiff, a female who is over the age of 40, was employed with Defendants from September 1987 until February 23, 2007. Defendants were qualified employers under the ADEA and Title VII, and at all relevant times they employed in excess of twenty (20) employees.
- 9. At all times throughout the course of her employment, Plaintiff was qualified for her positions, and performed her job to the expectation of her employers and beyond.

- 10. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants beginning on September 10, 1987 in the position of bank teller for Chase Bank in Joliet, Illinois. CHISENALL was eventually promoted to Teller Manager on or about June 26, 1991, was further promoted to Assistant Manager on August 5, 2002, and was finally promoted to Assistant Manager of the Plainfield branch on or about July 12, 2004.
- 11. Approximately one year prior to her termination, a new branch manager, Jose Ventura, was hired and appointed to CHISENALL's work location as her supervisor. Ventura was substantially younger than Plaintiff and a male.
- 12. Plaintiff's branch manager immediately began treating her differently than other similarly situated employees of the branch due to her sex and age, including, but not limited to setting different policy and discipline standards and work expectations, rudeness, hostility, and asking when she was going to retire and stating that older workers needed to retire. These actions created a hostile working environment for CHISENALL.
- 13. Plaintiff's employment with Defendants was terminated on February 23, 2007 by her manager, Jose Ventura, for pretextual reasons.
- 14. After Plaintiff's termination, she was replaced with a younger male who was lesser qualified for the position than Plaintiff.

COUNT I AGE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT ("ADEA")

- 15. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 14 as this paragraph 15 as if though fully set forth herein.
 - 16. Plaintiff has a federally protected right to equal treatment in the workplace.

- 17. At the time Plaintiff's employment with Defendants was terminated, Plaintiff was fifty-five (55) years old.
- 18. Defendants' adverse treatment of Plaintiff, including but not limited to Plaintiff's termination, was because of her age and any proffered reason to the contrary by Defendants is pretext for unlawful discrimination.
- 19. The reasons given for Defendants' adverse actions are a pretext for age discrimination, in that Plaintiff would not have been treated differently or terminated, nor would she have suffered a hostile working environment but for Defendants' motive to discriminate against Plaintiff due to her age.
- 20. By the above actions, but not limited to the same, Defendants discriminated against CHISENALL in the terms, conditions and privileges of employment because of her age, in violation of the ADEA, and treated younger employees more favorably. Any alleged reasons given to the contrary are pretextual.
- 21. By the above actions, but not limited to the same, Defendants took adverse employment actions against Plaintiff, which seriously affected her physical and psychological wellbeing, and caused her to suffer significant damages.
- 22. Defendants' wrongful acts, individually and/or by and through their agents, were intentional, willful and wanton, and in total disregard and reckless indifference to Plaintiff's rights under the ADEA.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the acts engaged in by Defendants, Plaintiff suffered severe financial damages, including but not limited to loss of pay, past and future, loss of benefits, past and future, loss of career opportunities, loss of future earnings and other incidentals and benefits of employment, damage to reputation, attorneys' fees, costs and other damages

allowable under the ADEA.

24. The above-mentioned acts of Defendants, individually and through their agents, were willful, wanton, malicious and oppressive and justify the awarding of exemplary or liquidated damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DIANNE CHISENALL, respectfully requests judgment against Defendants, JP MORGAN CHASE & CO. and CHASE BANK, in an amount that will fully compensate her for her injuries and damages for Defendants' violation of Plaintiff's rights under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, and award Plaintiff damages for loss of wages, past and future, loss of future earnings, loss of career opportunities, loss of employee benefits, past and future, damage to reputation, reasonable attorneys' fees, liquidated damages and prejudgment interest, as well as any other further relief allowable under the ADEA, as the Court deems just and appropriate.

COUNT II SEXUAL DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII

- 25. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 24 as this paragraph 25 as if though fully set forth herein.
- 26. The foregoing adverse employment actions were taken against Plaintiff because of her sex, female, in violation of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2 *et. seq*. Other similarly situated male employees were treated more favorably.
- 27. Defendants, by and through their agents, engaged in the above acts and conduct when it knew or should have known that the same were in violation of Title VII and any alleged reasons to the contrary are pretextual.
- 28. Defendants' wrongful acts, by and through its agents, were deliberate, intentional, willful and wanton and in total disregard for Plaintiff's civil rights.

29. As a direct and proximate result of the acts engaged in by Defendants, Plaintiff suffered severe financial damages, including but not limited to loss of pay, past and future, loss of benefits, past and future, loss of career opportunities, loss of future earnings and other incidentals and benefits of employment; severe emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment; damage to reputation; attorneys fees, costs and other damages allowed under Title VII.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DIANNE CHISENALL, respectfully requests judgment against Defendant, JP MORGAN CHASE & CO. and CHASE BANK, in an amount that will fully compensate her for her injuries and damages for Defendants' violation of Plaintiff's rights under Title VII and award Plaintiff damages for loss of wages, past and future, loss of future earnings, loss of career opportunities, loss of employee benefits, past and future, severe emotional distress, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, damage to reputation, court costs, expense of litigation, expert witness fees, reasonable attorneys' fees, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and prejudgment interest, as well as any other further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY.

Respectfully Submitted, **DIANNE CHISENALL**

/s/ Kimberly A. Carr

One of Her Attorneys

Kimberly A. Carr Erin Buck Kaiser BEST, VANDERLAAN & HARRINGTON 12 West Cass Street Joliet, IL 60432-4292 815.740.1500 815.740.6304 (facsimile) kcarr@bestfirm.com ekaiser@bestfirm.com 08CV4911 JUDGE MANNING MAGISTRATE JUDGE COLE

EXHIBIT A

		NO	TICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISS	UED OI	N REQUEST)	
To:	1118 lr Unit 1	Chisenall ngalls Avenue IL 60435	•	From:	Chicago District Of 500 West Madison Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60661	
	CERTI	FIED MAIL 7099 3400 00	018 8815 1235			·
[On behalf of person(s) aggri CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §				
EEO	C Charge	No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.
440	-2007-0	5360	Jose Romo, Investigator			(312) 353-8175
_		PERSON AGGRIEVED:	(8	See also	the additional informa	tion enclosed with this form.)
ınder he A	Title VI DA mus	I and/or the ADA based on the filed in a federal or lost. (The time limit for filed More than 180 days have	64 and/or the Americans with Disabil on the above-numbered charge. It has been state court WITHIN 90 DAYS of you ling suit based on a state claim may be a passed since the filing of this charge.	been issi i r receip different	ued at your request. \ t of this notice; or you)	Your lawsuit under Title VII or our right to sue based on this
l r			e passed since the filing of this charge, dministrative processing within 180 days			s unlikely that the EEOC will
] [<u>x</u>	The EEOC is terminating The EEOC will continue t	its processing of this charge. to process this charge.			
90 da		nation in Employment A	Act (ADEA): You may sue under the AD re have completed action on the charge			
	Χ		or case. Therefore, your lawsuit under to this Notice. Otherwise, your right			
[its handling of your ADEA case. Howeld all or state court under the ADEA at this		0 days have passed s	since the filing of the charge,
n fed	eral or s	tate court within 2 years (ve the right to sue under the EPA (filing a 3 years for willful violations) of the alleg an 2 years (3 years) before you file so	jed EPA	underpayment. This	
f you	file suit,	based on this charge, plea	ase send a copy of your court complaint	to this off	ice.	
			On behalf of	f the Con	nmission	
Encl	osures(s	s)	John P. Re		re pan	(Date Mailed)
			District Dir	ector		

JP MORGAN CHASE & COMPANY

cc: